App. Serial No. 10/777,254 Response to January 11, 2007 Office Action Amendment dated February 12, 2007 Attorney Docket No. 065071-9059-13

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner has indicated that restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121: Group I – Claims 1-11, Group II – Claims 12-19, Group III

- Claims 20-30, and Group IV - Claims 31-39.

Applicants respectfully traverse the restriction requirement between Group I (Claims

1-11) and Group II (Claims 12-19). Applicants respectfully submit that the process claimed

in Group I and the apparatus claimed in Group II are so inextricably related that the

inventions should be examined in the same application. A search of the prior art generally

relating to a method including esophageal stimulation of a vagal nerve and epicardial

stimulation of a heart would also require a search of the prior art generally relating to

apparatuses operable to perform esophageal nerve stimulation and cardiac stimulation. As a

result, Applicants respectfully submit that examination of both Groups I and II would not

create a serious burden on the Examiner.

Accordingly, Applicants request removal of the restriction requirement between

Groups I and II. Applicants have cancelled Claims 20-39 (Groups III and IV). If the

Examiner upholds the restriction requirement between Groups I and II, Applicants elect to

prosecute Group I (Claims 1-11).

Respectfully submitted,

Læye Lynn Dayht Raye L. Daugherty

Reg. No. 47,933

File No. 065071-9059-13

Michael Best & Friedrich LLP

100 East Wisconsin Avenue

Suite 3300

Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4108

414.271.6560

-6-